



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 24/03/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

1. Manoj
2. Hariharan
3. Sabari

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep by the Inspector of Police,  
Thiruchitrambalam Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.  
Crime No.85 of 2025.

... Respondent/ Complainant


For Petitioner : Mr.A. Mohan  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.85 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent- Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY


This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 20.03.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners / A1, A3 and A4 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w. Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.85 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 04.03.2025 at around 17.30 hours, when the respondent-Police along with his were on patrol duty near Thuravikkadu cremation ground, they found that the petitioner herein along with two other accused persons were illegally excavating and transporting  $\frac{1}{4}$  unit of river sand by using a bullock cart. Hence, the case.

4. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr.A.Mohan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition as against the petitioner 1 and 3 and he has also made an endorsement to that effect, which reads as follows:

“As instructed by the petitioners 1 and 3, I may be permitted to withdraw this petition with regard to the petitioners 1 and 3 is concerned.”



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

WEB COPY

In view of the same, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as withdrawn as against the petitioners 1 and 3.

5. As far as the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner is concerned, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner is an innocent person, and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there is no previous case pending against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending and, thereafter, at this stage of investigation, if pre-arrest bail is granted to the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner, he may cause threat to the witnesses and commit the similar offence. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

7. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

8. In view of the offence alleged against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner and quantity of river sand allegedly excavated and transported by the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation is not necessary in this case. The 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility for absconding. Considering the same, and also considering the facts and



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025


circumstances of the case, and taking note of the fact that there is no previous case pending against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner, and with a view to give an opportunity to the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner subject to the following conditions.

(i) The 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur District within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur District.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur District, shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent - police daily at 10.00 am until further orders;

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

officer as and when required.

WEB COPY


(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and tamper with the evidence.

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, Thanjavur District.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Peravurani, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].



CRL OP(MD). No.5376 of 2025

WEB COPY

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is partly allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-  
24/03/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP  
TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PERAVURANI.
- 2 DO-THROUGH :THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
THANJORE DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
THIRUCHITRAMBALAM POLICE STATION,  
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.5376 of 2025  
Date :24/03/2025

PR/SAR/ (21/04/2025) 6P / 5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from  
17/07/2023