



*Crl.R.C.(MD)No.388 of 2025*

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.04.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR**

Crl.R.C.(MD)No.388 of 2025

Pitchaimani

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,  
Gandamanur Police Station,  
Theni District.  
(Crime No.21 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 r/w 442 B.N.S.S., to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No.250 of 2025 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, Theni and set aside the same and consequently direct the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, Theni District to release the petitioner's vehicle Tractor along with Trailer, its bearing Registration No.TN-60-AJ-1129, stand in the respondent police for the custody of this petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.Kannan Gurusami

For Respondent : Mr.S.Ravi  
Additional Public Prosecutor



*Crl.R.C.(MD)No.388 of 2025*

WEB COPY

**ORDER**

The Criminal Revision is directed against the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.250 of 2025 dated 21.02.2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, dismissing the petition filed under Section 497 B.N.S.S.

2. The petitioner claims to be the owner of tractor and trailer bearing Registration No.TN-60-AJ-1129. On 21.01.2025, the respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.21 of 2025 for the offences under Section 303(2) BNS and Section 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and seized 7 vehicles including the above said vehicle for the alleged transportation of sand.

3. It is not in dispute that the petitioner has approached the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, for returning of the said vehicle in Crl.M.P.No.250 of 2025 and the learned Judicial Magistrate, vide order dated 21.02.2025, has dismissed the petition. Aggrieved by the order of dismissal, the petitioner has now come forward with the present revision.



*Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.388 of 2025*

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle and is not an accused in this case, that the petitioner is not having any previous cases for similar offence and that the said vehicle was not involved in any other cases. He would further submit that the value of the vehicle is worth about Rs.25 lakhs.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the vehicle Tractor and Trailer bearing Registration No.TN-60-AJ-1129 is owned by the petitioner, that the said vehicle has no connection whatever with the alleged occurrence, that if the vehicle is kept in open place, the value of the said vehicle will get deteriorated and that therefore interim custody may be granted to the petitioner.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that if the vehicle is kept in open place exposing to sun and rain, the



*Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.388 of 2025*

WEB COPY

value of the vehicle will be deteriorated and no purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle in custody, this Court is inclined to allow the revision and thereby setting aside the impugned order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No.250 of 2025, by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case stands allowed and the order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No.250 of 2025 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, is hereby set aside and the vehicle/tractor and trailer bearing Registration No.TN-60-AJ-1129, is ordered to be returned to the petitioner for interim custody subject to the confiscation proceedings to be taken by the concern Department or by the Court on the following conditions:-

- (a) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.25,000/-**  
**(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** as non-refundable deposit for the said vehicle to the credit of the District Mineral Foundation Trust, Theni District;
- (b) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.8,50,000/-**  
**(Rupees Eight Lakhs and Fifty Thousand only)**, with two



WEB COPY



*Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.388 of 2025*

sureties for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti;

- (c) the petitioner shall deposit the original Registration Certificate of the vehicle before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti;
- (d) The petitioner shall give an undertaking before the respondent/ authority concerned stating that he will not use the vehicle in question for any illegal activities in future, failing which the respondent/trial Court is at liberty to confiscate the vehicle;
- (e) the petitioner shall not alienate and shall not make any alteration in the vehicle;
- (f) the petitioner shall produce the vehicle before the Court and before the respondent police as and when required;

**29.04.2025**

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes / No  
csm



WEB COPY



*Crl.R.C.(MD)No.388 of 2025*

**K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.**

csn

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,  
Andipatti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Gandamanur Police Station,  
Theni District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

Order made in  
Crl.R.C.(MD)No.388 of 2025

Dated: 29.04.2025