



CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 20/03/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025

Karthick

... Petitioner/ Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Rep by the Inspector of Police,  
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,  
Dindigul.

Crime No. 137/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. A.Prabhu Raj

Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-38AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.137 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 18.03.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025


सत्यमेव जयते  
WEB COPY

2. The petitioner / Sole Accused apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351 (3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.137 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case for the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 11.03.2025, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and the petitioner herein has attacked the defacto complainant with iron rod and thereby, caused injuries. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.A.Prabhuraj, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, he submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending, and therefore, at this stage, if pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he may commit similar offence and cause threat to the defacto complainant and the witnesses. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal



CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025

Original Petition.


WEB COPY

6. Heard on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. In view of the offence alleged against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case. Further, the petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Therefore, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same, and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that the injured was discharged from the hospital, and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of



CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025

identity proofs to ensure their identity.

WEB COPY

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders;

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(viii) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause threat to the defacto complainant and his family members and tamper with the evidence.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].



CRL OP(MD). No.5182 of 2025

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-  
20.03.2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2025  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul.
2. Do-Through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,  
Dindugal District.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,  
Dindigul.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+2 CC to M/s.A.PRABHU RAJ, Advocate ( SR-3203[I] dated 21/03/2025 )

ORDER  
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5182 of 2025  
Date : 20/03/2025

SL(03.04.2025)/ 5P/ 7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17.07.2023.

5/5