



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/04/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

Angelin Jain Vanitha

... Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City,
Madurai.
(In Crime No. 40 of 2024).

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkateswaran,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 40 of 2024 on the file of the respondent-Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 25.03.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 314, 316(4), 318(4), 322 and 324 of BNS, in Crime No.40 of 2024 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, the Branch Manager of Central Bank of India, had an agreement with Phobos Gold Technology Pvt. Ltd. (A4) to call for the application to the post of gold appraisers for the bank. Subsequently, Velmurugan (A1) was appointed as an appraiser on 15.05.2024. On 31.08.2024 at about 4.00 p.m., one of the bank staffs asked the said Velmurugan to present the jewels appraised on that day. He has given 112.5 grams of jewels pledged by one Anjeline Jain Vanitha / petitioner herein. Suspecting its authenticity, the said staff verified it. At that time, it was revealed that the jewels were gold plated. Thereafter, A3 / petitioner was called for enquiry but failed to appear. On enquiry, it



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

was revealed that the petitioner had visited the bank with one Rajeshkumar, who also did not respond when summoned. On verification, it was further revealed that the said appraiser with the help of A2 and the petitioner, in their names, had availed gold loans for a total sum of Rs.27,33,000/- by pledging 679 grams of fake gold jewels. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.R.Venkateswaran, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and that she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that she has been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He further submits that this Court has already granted pre-arrest bail to Accused No.2 in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.2861 of 2025 on 17.02.2025. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.6,37,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs and Thirty Seven Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.40 of 2024 before the concerned Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. *Per contra*, Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there are totally 4 accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as Accused No.3. He further submits



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

that a total sum of Rs.27,33,000/- is involved in this case. Therefore, he contends that, if the petitioner is granted pre-arrest bail, she will cause threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and tamper with the evidence. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records

7. The petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Therefore, there is less possibility of absconding. In view of the offence alleged against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case. Considering the above and taking into account the fact that the petitioner is ready to pay a sum of Rs.6,37,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs and Thirty Seven Thousand only), this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

(i) The petitioner shall be released on bail in the event of her arrest or in the event of her surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate I, Madurai, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) along with two



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to

WEB COPY

the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate I, Madurai;

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.6,37,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs and Thirty Seven Thousand only) to the credit of the Crime No.40 of 2024 on the file of the respondent-police, before the learned Judicial Magistrate I, Madurai, within a period of one month from today (i.e., on 25.04.2025). In turn, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall deposit the said amount in a nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order / judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.40 of 2024. The trial Court shall pass order qua entitlement of the said deposit on his final order / judgment;

(iv) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate I, Madurai on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(v) The petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(vi) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish her residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate;

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated *supra*

sd/-
25/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TSG



CRL OP(MD). No.5736 of 2025

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
MADURAI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MADURAI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH,
MADURAI CITY,
MADURAI.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R, VENKATESWARAN, Advocate (SR-4835[I] dated 25/04/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5736 of 2025

Date :25/04/2025

SS/SAR- /05/05/2025/ 7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023