



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

1. Pool Pandi

2. Pon Selvan

3. Petchimuthu

4. Nagaraj@karuppasamy

5. Selvalakshmi

... Petitioners

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District
Cr No. 19/2020

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records in C.C.No. 76 of 2023 on the file of the Learned Additional Mahila court, Sivagangai, Quash the same.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.Basil.P.M,


For R1 : Mr.M.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.Nandhini Priyadharshini

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No. 76 of 2023 on the file of the Additional Mahila court, Sivagangai for the offences under Sections 420, 354D, 384, 507, 509, 506(1), 294(b) and 323 of IPC and Sections 66E, 67 and 67A of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.19 of 2020 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 19 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 420, 354D, 384, 507, 509 and 506(1) of IPC and Sections 66E, 67 and 67A of Information Technology



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

(Amendment) Act, 2008 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No. 76 of 2023, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise. A compromise memo, dated 16.12.2024 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences and the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No. 76 of 2023 on the file of the Additional Mahila court, Sivagangai is quashed.

20.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

To

1.The Additional Mahila court, Sivagangai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5144 of 2025

20.03.2025