



C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.07.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

and

C.M.P.(MD)No.6462 of 2025

1.Chidambaram

2.Krishnaveni

3.Radhika

...Petitioners

Vs.

1.Srimathi

2.Minor Janani

(Respondent No.2 represented by her mother guardian / Respondent No.1

3.Sakthivel

4.Thangaraj

...Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, praying to call for the records pertaining to the case in D.V.C.No.64 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai and strike off the same insofar as it related to the petitioners.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Rajesh

For Respondents : No appearance

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking orders to set aside the case in D.V.C.No.64 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, insofar as the petitioners are concerned.

2. The petitioners herein are respondent Nos.2 to 4 in D.V.C.No.64 of 2023, before the trial Court. The respondent Nos.1 and 2 have filed D.V.C.No. 64 of 2023, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, under the provisions of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the first and second petitioners are the in-laws of the first respondent. The third petitioner is the sister-in-law of the first respondent. The third respondent is the husband of the first respondent. The fourth respondent is the uncle of the third respondent. The first respondent has initiated domestic violence proceedings against her husband and her in-laws. It is submitted that the petitioners are in no way connected with the allegations made by the first respondent in the DVC



case and though her husband / third respondent is ready and willing to live with the first respondent, it is only the first respondent who is not coming to live with him and has initiated the present case. Therefore, he prays that the petitioners may be permitted to raise all the grounds mentioned herein before the trial court. He also requests this Court to dispense with their personal appearance before the trial court.

4. In spite of issuance of notice, there is no representation for the respondents. However, in view of the nature of the order which this Court is going to pass, which in no way affects the respondents, representation on behalf of the respondents is not necessary.

5. This Court, taking into consideration the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, permits the petitioners to raise all the grounds as raised herein before the trial court at the time of trial. Taking into consideration the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, their appearance before the trial court is dispensed with except for their appearance for the purpose of receiving the copy of the proceedings u/s 230 of BNSS, framing of charges, questioning under Section 351 of BNSS and on the day on which judgment is to be pronounced. However, if for any particular reason, the



C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

presence of the petitioners is necessary, the trial court, at its wisdom, shall direct them to appear on those days.

6. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

14.07.2025

Internet:Yes/No

Index:Yes/No

TSG

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.

2.The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

TSG

C.R.P.(MD)No.1188 of 2025

14.07.2025