



W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.03.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

S.Pitchairajan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Madurai City.


2.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Madurai city.

3.Nenjamalai Pandi,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai-12.

4.Rajasekaran,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai-12.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's complaint dated



W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

15.01.2025 and conduct enquiry and initiate appropriate action against the respondents 3 and 4 in the manner known to law.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rajini

For R1 and R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's complaint dated 15.01.2025 and conduct enquiry and initiate appropriate action against the respondents 3 and 4.

2.The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that there is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents with regard to organizing of Jallikattu. During Jallikattu, the respondents 3 and 4 assaulted the petitioner and criminally intimidated him, thereby, the petitioner lodged a complaint to the second respondent but no action was taken. Hence this petition.



W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner's representation is under consideration. The petitioner has not appeared for enquiry.

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondents, is inclined to pass order.

5.According to the petitioner, the private respondents assaulted him, thereby, he lodged the complaint, but no action was taken. The second respondent has admitted the receipt of the petitioner's complaint. However, he stated that the petitioner has not co-operated for enquiry.

5.At this juncture, the learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has no objection to appear before the respondent police.

6.In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to appear before the second respondent for enquiry on **28.03.2025** and thereafter, the



W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

second respondent shall enquire the matter and take appropriate action,
within a period of one week, in accordance with law.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

24.03.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

LR

To

1. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Madurai City.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Madurai city.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.7929 of 2025

24.03.2025