



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

1. Santhosh

2. M. Bala

3. Yogenthiran

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No. 136 of 2023).

2. R.Radhakrishnan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to pass an order to call for the records pertaining to the Impugned Charge Sheet in P.R.C.No.3 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari Dated 29.04.2024 and Quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Ganesan
For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2 : Mr.B.Gokul Prasanth

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to P.R.C.No.3 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 25(1A) of Arms Act, 1959 in Crime No.136 of 2023 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 136 of 2023 for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 25(1A) of Arms Act, 1959.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in P.R.C.No.3 of 2024, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

before this Court.

WEB COPY

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise. A compromise memo, dated 28.03.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and P.R.C.No.3 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari is quashed.

08.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

3/5



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

WEB COPY To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Singampunari.
2. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5793 of 2025

08.04.2025