



W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 18.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

Santhanakumar,
Represented through his power agent,
Anandharama Krishnan ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Taluk Police Station,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District. ... Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent police to conduct a detail enquiry based upon the complaints, dated 25.10.2024 and 19.12.2024 vide CSR No.922/2024 and pass such further or other orders as this Court.

For Petitioner : M/s.T.Sathya Selvi

For Respondent : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025


ORDER

The present petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent police to conduct a detail enquiry based upon the complaints, dated 25.10.2024 and 19.12.2024 vide CSR No.922 of 2024.

2. According to the petitioner, he lodged a complaint as against one Fathima before the respondent. But the respondent assigned CSR No.922 of 2024 and thereafter, not taken any steps. Therefore, filed this petition to conduct enquiry based on the complaints of the petitioner.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the complaints of the petitioner were already considered and closed as money dispute pending between the parties.

4. In reply, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that no enquiry was conducted and no order of closure has been



W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

WEB COPY

furnished to the petitioner. Today, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent served the copy of the closure report to the petitioner.

5. Since the prayer of the petitioner is to consider the representation based on the complaints given by the petitioner and to take action, already the said complaints were considered and the same was closed as money dispute, it is for the petitioner to challenge the same in the manner known to law, if he is aggrieved.

6. In view of the same, this writ petition stands closed by preserving the liberty of the petitioner to challenge the closure report in the manner known to law. There shall be no order as to costs.

18.03.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR



W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

WEB COPY To

The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Taluk Police Station,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

W.P(MD)No.7189 of 2025

18.03.2025