



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :22.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

Sakthi Muruga

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.


2. The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram.

4. The Thasildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 1st respondent in his proceedings in Na.Ka. B5/41316/2024 dated 29.01.2025 and quash



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025


the same as illegal and consequently directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to rectify the wrong entries in respect of lands in Old S.Nos. 365/1 New T.S.no. 38, Ward H, Block 30, Bhagavath Singh Street and Old S.Nos. 365/2 New T.S.no. 9, Ward G, Block 32, Bharathi Nagar 4th Street situated in Paramakudi Town from Anadheenam and Government Poromboke Land to patta land the land in Survey Nos. 158/1, 158/2, 158/3, 158/4, 15/5, 158/6, 158/7, 158/8, 158/9 & 158/10 at Vengattankurichi Village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanthapuram District in the revenue records of SLR and UDR and Survey Nos.221,222 and 223 at Kattuparamakudi village, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District based on the petitioner's representation dated 28.09.2024 within the time limit.

For Petitioner :Mr.P.Sureshkumar

For Respondent :Mr.B.Saravanan
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner makes a claim in respect of land in Survey Nos. 158/1 to 158/10 on the basis of registered partition deed bearing Document No.4233 of 1905. According to the petitioner, the above-mentioned properties were allotted to her grandfather Narayanasamy and that it devolved upon the said Narayanasamy's legal heirs upon his demise. After submitting representation dated 28.09.2024, the petitioner



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

WEB COPY

filed W.P(MD) No.27790 of 2024. The said writ petition was disposed of by order dated 21.11.2024 directing the District Collector to consider such representation and dispose of the same on merits. The order impugned herein was issued pursuant there to.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner challenges the impugned order on the ground that the pattadhars were not put on notice and by further contending that the first respondent is not authorised to pass orders on this issue.

3. Learned Additional Government Pleader responds by submitting that the order was issued on the basis of the earlier order of this court dated 21.11.2024 in W.P(MD) No.27790 of 2024. He also points out that the order was issued after providing a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner.

4. Upon examining the impugned order, I find that it is recorded as under in the operative portion thereof:



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

WEB COPY

மனுதாரரின் பாட்டனார் சுப்பையா நாயக்கர் என்பதற்கு வாரீசு சான்று ஏதும் சமர்ப்பிக்கவில்லை. செட்டில்மென்ட் காலத்திற்கு முந்தைய 1905 ஆம் ஆண்டைய கிரைய ஆவணங்களின் பேரில் மேல்முறையீட்டு மனுதார் தாவாப்புலங்களுக்கு தற்போது உரிமை கோருவதை பரிசீலனைக்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ள இயலாது. ஏனெனில் மேற்கண்ட ரூடுசு-ல் உள்ள பதிவுகளின் மேல் மேல்முறையீடு செய்வதற்கான காலக்கெடு ஏற்கனவே முடிவடைந்து விட்டதாலும், அந்த ஆவணங்களில் திருத்தம் செய்ய அரசு விதிகளில் வழிவகை இல்லை என்பதாலும் மனுதாரரின் மேல்முறையீட்டு மனு தள்ளுபடி செய்து உத்தரவிடப்படுகிறது.

As is evident from the above extract, the impugned order records that the petitioner traces title from a document which is prior to the period of settlement. The order further records that the petitioner failed to submit the legal heir certificate to establish her claim from her grandfather, Subbiah Naicker. After according these reasons, it is further concluded that the petitioner may approach the jurisdictional civil court.

5. On carefully considering the said order in the light of the averments in the writ petition, I find no reason to interfere. Therefore, this writ petition is dismissed without any order as to costs by leaving it open to the petitioner to approach the jurisdictional civil court.

22.09.2025

NCC :No
Internet :Yes
Index :No
PKN



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram.

4. The Thasildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Ramanathapuram.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD)No.7271 of 2025

22.09.2025