



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 17.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

A. Thirumurugan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,,
Thandigudi Police Station,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.

3. Manickam

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr. P.Bhaskar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar (R1,R2)
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

ORDER


WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the

2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a property dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent, thereby, the third respondent has lodged a complaint before the Tahsildar. Based on the report of the Tahsildar, the matter is now pending before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kodaikanal. In the meantime, the third respondent has lodged a complaint before the second respondent. Taking advantage of the same, the second respondent harassed the petitioner on the guise of inquiry. Hence, this petition has been filed.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there is a property dispute between the parties in respect of harvesting crops. The Revenue Divisional Officer has already passed an order in favor of the third respondent, against which, the petitioner has preferred an appeal before the appellate authorities and the same is pending. In the meantime, the third respondent has lodged a complaint against the petitioner and the



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

same was closed after enquiry. The second respondent has called the petitioner only for enquiry. Apart from that they have not harassed the petitioner. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication.

4.Since the prayer of the petitioner is to direct the respondents not to harass him on the guise of enquiry and the second respondent also denied the allegation of harassment and they have closed the enquiry based on the complaint given by the third respondent, nothing survives for further adjudication. Recording the above submission, this petition is closed.

17.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
PNM

To
1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,,
Thandigudi Police Station,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P(MD)No.4849 of 2025

17.03.2025