



W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025
and
W.M.P(MD)No.5348 of 2025

Suganya

.... Petitioner

/Vs./

1. The District Revenue Officer
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Pudukkottai District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Alangudi Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.
4. Sasikala
5. Emaclate Jayaseeli
6. Rajalakshmi
7. Kamila Banu
8. Abdul Salam
9. Rahamadullah
10. Subbiah
11. Annathurai



W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

WEB COPY

- 12.Chandraleka
- 13.Sivagami
- 14.Kajitha Bevi
- 15.Karthikeyan
- 16.Kalaiselvi
- 17.Suriya
- 18.Jeyanthi
- 19.Muthu
- 20.John M.G.R
- 21.Perumal
- 22.Nirmala

.... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the 1st respondent in Ni.Mu.T.5/17177/2019 dated 15.12.2023 and quash the same and consequently direct the 1st respondent to issue patta in favour of the petitioner for the land in New S.No.56/2B2B situated in Thirukkattalai Village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Pandi Dorai
For R1 to R3 : Mrs.K.Malathi
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioner challenges the concurrent findings of the revenue authorities rejecting the application of the petitioner for transfer of patta from the petitioner's grand-mother's name. The authorities have throughout rejected the petitioner's application on the ground that the



W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

WEB COPY

petitioner himself admits that a forged sale deed had been created as if the petitioner's grandmother Alagammal had sold the property.

2. In the light of the admitted allegation made by the writ petitioner, I do not find fault with the authorities for rejecting the petitioner's application, clearly giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent Civil Court. Therefore, the petitioner if aggrieved by the alienation of the property allegedly by the petitioner's grandmother under the forged sale deed, it would be open to the petitioner to seek recourse for the competent Civil Court.

3. Giving liberty to the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
am

17.03.2025



W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Revenue Officer
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Pudukkottai District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Alangudi Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

P.B. BALAJI, J.

am

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.7111 of 2025

Dated:
17.03.2025