



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 18.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

C.Kanagarajan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2.The Executive Engineer,
TWAD Board,
Rural Water Supply Division,
Allur - Panangadi Road,
Sivagangai - 630 561.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent i.e., the Executive Engineer, TWAD Board, Rural Water Supply Division, Sivagangai to carry out the orders of the District Collector, Sivagangai made in her letter No.Na.Ka.P5/22079/2024 dated 17.12.2024, within a specified time frame that may be fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Visvalingam
For R1 : Mr.P.Subbaraj
Special Government Pleader
For R2 : Mr.R.Satheesh
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the second respondent to carry out the orders of the District Collector, Sivagangai, dated 17.12.2024, within a specified time frame fixed by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he was worked as Maintenance Assistant in the second respondent board and retired from service on 30.06.2020. He submitted a representation dated 07.10.2024 to the first respondent with regard to enter his service particulars in his service register for the period he worked as daily wage from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995 in the second respondent board. After considering the entire issue, the first respondent directed the second respondent to enter the details in the service register of the individual in view of the fact that the second respondent board paid salary to the petitioner for the period from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995. The grievance of the



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

petitioner is that the direction of the first respondent has not been carried out by the second respondent. Aggrieved by the inaction of the second respondent in carrying out the direction of the first respondent, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. The learned Special Government Pleader for the first respondent would submit that the first respondent being the District Magistrate considered the representation of the petitioner, dated 07.10.2024 and on examination of the entire issue instructed the second respondent board to enter the details in the service register of the petitioner for the period from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995.

4. The learned Standing Counsel for the second respondent would submit that the first respondent has no authority to issue such instructions to the second respondent and if the petitioner is having any grievance with the second respondent board, he has to approach the second respondent board by making proper representation for redressal of his grievance. In the present case, the petitioner did not submit any representation to the second respondent board to consider his request.



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

WEB COPY 5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the respective learned counsels, as rightly pointed out by the learned Standing Counsel for the second respondent that the Managing Director of the second respondent board is the competent authority to consider the request of the petitioner to enter the service particulars of the petitioner in his service register for the period from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995, this Court intends to dispose of this writ petition at the stage of admission with the consent of both parties without going into the merits of the case by granting liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation to the Managing Director of the second respondent board to meet the ends of justice.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation to the Managing Director of the second respondent board requesting to enter the details of his service rendered from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995 in the second respondent board within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the same, the Managing Director of the second respondent board shall consider the representation of the petitioner taking into consideration of the fact that the



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

petitioner was paid salary for period from 01.12.1992 to 25.10.1995 as per the

WEB COPY

order of the Labour Court, Madurai in Case No.150/1993, dated 14.03.1996,

which was confirmed by this Court subsequently, within a period of four weeks thereafter.

No costs.

18.03.2025

NCC:yes/no
Index:yes/no
Internet:yes/no
Sn

To:

- 1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.
- 2.The Executive Engineer,
TWAD Board,
Rural Water Supply Division,
Allur - Panangadi Road,
Sivagangai - 630 561.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

BATTU DEVANAND, J.

Sn

W.P.(MD)No.7234 of 2025

18.03.2025