



CRL OP(MD). No.4607 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.03.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.4607 of 2025

1.Velu

2.Ganesan

3.Subbaiah

...Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

Arimalam Police Station,

Pudukottai.

(Crime No.26 of 2025)

...Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Prabhu

Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.26 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the

offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.4607 of 2025

the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY


2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are neighbours. On 27.02.2025, there was a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and thereby, they attacked the defacto complainant with hands and also gave life threat to her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that there was a dispute between the parties in worshipping a temple, due to which a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant with hands and also gave life threat to her. He further submits that the injured was treated as an out-patient and the petitioners have no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences



CRL OP(MD). No.4607 of 2025

चार्जद्वारा
WEB COPY

charged against the petitioners, and the relationship between the parties and also considering the facts that injured was treated as out-patient and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, Pudukottai, and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.00 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the



CRL OP(MD). No.4607 of 2025

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under

Section 269 B.N.S.

sd/-
12.03.2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumayam,
Pudukottai.
- 2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Pudukottai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Arimalam Police Station,
Pudukottai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CRL OP(MD) No.4607 of 2025
Date : 12.03.2025

SL(20.03.2025)/ 4P/ 5C

<https://www.madurai-bench.org/> Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17.07.2023.