



CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.03.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

Suraj Kumar

...Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Taluk Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
(Crime No.70 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Mareeskumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.70 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118, 118(2) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, 2002, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 05.03.2025, there was a wordy quarrel between the petition and the defacto complainant and thereby, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and his wife. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. This is the case and case in counter. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that due to the previous enmity, a wordy quarrel arose between the petition and the defacto



CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY


complainant and thereby, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and his wife. He further submits that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and also considering the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.00 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

sd/-
12/03/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

APD

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II
SATTUR.



CRL OP(MD). No.4620 of 2025

WEB COPY

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SATTUR TALUK POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.E.Mareeskumar, ADVOCATE IN SR No.16216(F) DATED 13/03/2025

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4620 of 2025
Date :12/03/2025

SS/SKN/SAR- /18/03/2025/ 5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023