



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4715 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 17.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.4715 of 2025

1.Puthiyavan
2.Kamalesh
3.Yoganath

... Petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Inspector of Police
Pateeswaram Police Station
Thanjavur.
(Cr. No.31 of 2025)

2.Manikandan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records pertaining to the case in Crime No.31 of 2025, pending on the file of the first respondent Inspector of Police, Pateeswaram Police Station, Thanjavur and quash the same as far as the petitioner is concerned.

For petitioners : Mr.B.P.Raghavan



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4715 of 2025

For Respondents : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1
Mr.Dhilipan Pandian for R2

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to the case in Crime No.31 of 2025, pending on the file of the first respondent Inspector of Police, Pateeswaram Police Station, Thanjavur and quash the same as far as the petitioner is concerned.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners and defacto complainant are neighbours. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No.31 of 2025 for the offences under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsels on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in Cr.No.31 of 2025, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4715 of 2025

this Court.

WEB COPY

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are neighbours. A compromise memo, dated 17.03.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and Cr.No.31 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, is quashed.

17.03.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4715 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector of Police
Pateeswaram Police Station
Thanjavur.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4715 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

CrI.OP(MD) No.4715 of 2025

17.03.2025