



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

and

CRL MP(MD)No.4115 of 2025

K.Gopirajan

... Petitioner / Respondent

Vs.

1.R.Karthika

2.Minor.Niranjana

... Respondents / Petitioners

(Minor 2nd respondent is rep. by the 1st respondent /
Natural guardian / Mother)

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition is filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, to call for the records of the impugned order dated 04.01.2022, passed in M.C.No.3 of 2018, by the learned District cum Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.J.Sivakumar

ORDER


A joint compromise between the parties arrived and the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that a demand draft for an amount



CRL RC(MD)/No.398 of 2025

of Rs.55,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Five Lakhs only) has been drawn in favour of the 1st respondent wife and already an amount of Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs only) has been paid before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy, which came to a total amount of Rs. 59,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Nine Lakhs only) which is paid as a full and final settlement to the respondents including the minor daughter. That apart, 10 sovereigns gold jewellery which was in the custody of the petitioner, was also handed over to the first respondent. The same is duly acknowledged by the 1st respondent.

2. A joint compromise memo signed by both parties is also placed before me and the same will become a part and parcel of this order. The scanned copy of the said joint compromise memo is extracted as follows:



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT AT
MADURAI**
(Criminal Original Jurisdiction)

Crl. R.C. (MD) No.398/2025

K.Gopirajan (M/43/2025),
S/o. A.Kumaresan,
Joint Telecom Officer,
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.,
St.Thomas Mount,
Chennai.

...Petitioner/Respondent

-Vs-

01. R. Karthika (F/36/2025)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

02. Niranjana (F/12/2025) (Minor),
respondent No.2 rep.by the 1st respondent
Both are residing at:
D.No.2/64, Ananthapadmanabapuram,
Madhavalayam Post,
Thovalai,
Kanyakumari District.

...Respondents/Petitioners

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

It is submitted that this petitioner and the 1st respondent are husband and wife. Both got married on 08.12.2008 as an arranged marriage and out of the wedlock they blessed with a female child who is the 2nd respondent herein. Within a short span of time difference of opinion arose between the couples and in spite of several rounds of negotiation by the family elders there is no progress and ultimately both of them are living separately since from the year 2014.

Petitioner

Counsel for petitioner

Respondent No. 1

Counsel for 1st respondent



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

WEB COPY

Boothapandy and the said amount would be adjusted and the remaining amount of Rs.55,00,000/- was handed over to the respondent by way of demand draft Nos.517268 and 321069 dated 05.08.2025 and as such handed over 10 sovereign of gold jewel to the 1st respondent.

c. The respondents further undertake that they will not claim any sort of maintenance (Medical, Marriage, Studies and other basic amenities etc.) from the petitioner in future.

Under these circumstances, it is prayed that this Hon'ble court may be pleased to record the compromise in CrI.R.C.(MD)No.398/2025 and pass such other or further orders as this Hon;ble court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.


Dated at Madurai on this 12th day of August 2025.

Petitioner

Counsel for petitioner

Respondent No. 1

Counsel for 1st respondent



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

WEB COPY

02. It is respectfully submitted that the efforts taken by the both family members in resuming the matrimonial life also ended in vain. In the meanwhile the respondents have filed petition U/s.125 of Cr.P.C. in M.C.No.03/2018 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy seeking maintenance for their livelihood. The learned Magistrate after hearing both sides by the order dated 04.01.2022 directed the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- each to the respondents from the date of filing of the petition.

03. It is submitted that aggrieved over the order, the petitioner has approached this Hon'ble court by way of filing Criminal Revision Case in CrI.R.C.(MD)No.398/2025 with a delay and while condoning the delay this Hon'ble court directed the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- according this petitioner has deposited Rs.4,00,000/- on 11.12.2024 before the learned Judicial Magistrate Boothapandy.

4. It is submitted that now both the parties have amicably settled the issues on following terms:

a. The petitioner and respondent No.1 have agreed to dissolve the marriage held on 08.12.2008 by way of filing divorce petition on mutual consent before the court concerned.


b. The petitioner has come forward to pay a sum of Rs.59,00,000/- (Fifty Nine Lakhs) towards full and final settlement to the respondents. In the total amount already the petitioner has deposited Rs.4,00,000/- before the learned Judicial Magistrate,

Petitioner

Counsel for petitioner

R. Kantan
Respondent No. 1

Counsel for 1st respondent



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. It is also agreed by both the petitioner and the 1st respondent to dissolve the marriage which was held on 08.12.2008 by way of filing a divorce petition on mutual consent before the concerned Court.

4. In view of the above, this Criminal Revision case is disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

12.08.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
Sml

Note: Issue order copy on 14.08.2025.

To

1.The District cum Judicial Magistrate,
Boothapandy.



WEB COPY



CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.,

Sml

CRL RC(MD)No.398 of 2025

12.08.2025