



CrI.MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
WEB COPY

DATED :13.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CrI.MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

in

CrI.RC(MD) No.325 of 2025

Murugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Senthil Kumar
For Respondent : Mrs.Aasha
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner, an accused in CC No.211 of 2022, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai was found guilty by the trial Court and was convicted and sentenced by the trial Court as under:-



Crl.MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

Sl.No	Sections	Punishment	Fine amount	Default
1.	279 IPC	-	Rs.1,000/-	One week simple imprisonment
2.	304(A) IPC	Six months simple Imprisonment	-	-

As against the conviction and sentence imposed by the trial Court in CC.No.211 of 2022, dated 09.10.2023, the petitioner has filed an Appeal in C.A No.5 of 2024 before the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram and the first Appellate Court has dismissed the appeal by confirming the conviction and sentence imposed by the trial Court. Challenging the same, the petitioner has filed a Criminal Revision in Crl.RC (MD) No.325 of 2025 before this Court and the same is admitted today. The petitioner has also moved this application to suspend the sentence imposed on him by the trial Court.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a driver, working in Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation. According to him, the deceased died due to his own negligence, however, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and convicted as stated supra.

3.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submits that after examining the evidence and the materials placed before the trial Court, the learned trial Judge has convicted this petitioner and the first Appellate Court has



Crl.MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

also confirmed the conviction and sentence imposed by the trial Court.

WEB COPY

4.This Court considered the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner has raised certain arguable points, which can be considered only during the final hearing of the Revision. However the Revision could not be taken up for final hearing for want of time. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to suspend the sentence imposed on the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the substantive sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended pending disposal of the criminal revision and the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai and on further condition that the petitioner shall report before the trial Court once in a month on the first working day of every English Calender month at 10.30 a.m., till the disposal of the revision.

sd/-
13/03/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Crl.MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

WEB COPY

TO

1 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

2 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVADANAI.

3 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.P. PATTINAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM,

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.SENTHILKUMAR, Advocate (SR-2749[I] dated 13/03/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL MP(MD) No.3345 of 2025

Date :13/03/2025

MK/SKN/SAR /24.03.2025 4P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023