



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

Koventhan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
The District Superintendent of Police,
O/o.The District Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. Muthumari

4. Ilakkiya

5. Naganathan

6. Veerabalu

7. Vidya

8. Mangai

9. Manjunath

... Respondents



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the second respondent police not to harass the petitioner without any legally sustainable complaint.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balamurugapandi,

For R1 and R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the second respondent police not to harass the petitioner without any legally sustainable complaint.

2.According to the petitioner, there is a dispute pending between the petitioner and the private respondents in respect of the family property and thereby, the private respondents lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Based on the same, the second respondent frequently used to harass the petitioner by issuing summons for appearance and the respondent police insisted the petitioner to settle the matter. Hence this



CrI.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

petition.

WEB COPY

3.The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the respondent police have called the petitioner through summons, but the petitioner has not appeared and immediately filed this petition. Since the enquiry is pending, the enquiry will be conducted within a period of one week. He would further submit that the second respondent has not harassed the petitioner and he never insisted the petitioner to settle the matter and he only called the petitioner for enquiry.

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to pass order.

5.Recording the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side), the second respondent is directed to complete the enquiry within a period of one week in accordance with law.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

6.With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition is
disposed of.

17.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

To

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
The District Superintendent of Police,
O/o.The District Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.4349 of 2025

17.03.2025