



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4254 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 07.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.4254 of 2025

1. Veeraselvam
2. Pandi
3. Valliyammai @ Valliammal
4. Parameswari
5. Kowsalya

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
All Woman Police Station,
Melur,
Madurai District.
Crime No.23/2022.

2. Muneeswari

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4254 of 2025

pertaining to the C.C.No.353 of 2022, on the file of the learned Judicial

Magistrate, Melur, Madurai District and Quash the same as illegal.


For petitioners : Mr.S.Ayyanar Prem Kumar,
For R1 : Mr. M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl side)
For R2 : Mr.G.Kalieswaran

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.353 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, Madurai District for the offences under Sections 498(A), 406 and 355 of IPC in Crime No.23 of 2022 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, the first petitioner and defacto complainant are husband and wife. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 23 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 498(A), 406 and 355 of IPC.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4254 of 2025

both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.353 of 2022, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are relatives. A compromise memo, dated NIL (received by the Registry on 05.03.2025) signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.353 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate,



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4254 of 2025

Melur, Madurai District is quashed. The compromise memo dated NIL

(received by the Registry on 05.03.2025) filed by the parties shall form part of this order.

07.03.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
LR

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Melur, Madurai District

2.The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
All Woman Police Station,
Melur,
Madurai District.
Crime No.23/2022.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.4254 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

LR

CrI.OP(MD) No.4254 of 2025

07.03.2025