



W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.02.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

Arumugam

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. Through Its, the District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Keelakarai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Tahsildar,
Keelakarai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

5. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirupullani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

6. Muthupandy

... Respondents



W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 herein to consider representation dated 17.02.2025 to treat all the people equally while conducting Daily Pooja and equal participation in temple administration for all the communities in Sree Valampuri Dharma Muniswarar Temple situated at Sy.No. 184, Kuthakottai Group, Keelakarai Vattam, Ramanathapuram District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Dhilipan Pandian R L,

For R1to R3 : Mr.M.Senthil Ayyanar
Government Advocate

For R4 & R5 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 to 4 herein to consider the representation dated 17.02.2025 to treat all the people equally while conducting Daily Pooja and equal participation in temple administration for all the communities in Sree Valampuri Dharma Muniswarar Temple situated at Sy.No. 184, Kuthakottai Group, Keelakarai Vattam, Ramanathapuram District.



W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. According to the petitioner, the private respondent and his family members obstructing the other community people from participating in the temple administration. Therefore, he gave a representation before the respondents on 04.02.2025 and the same was not considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that already this Court in W.P(MD)No. 5254 of 2025 has granted permission for peaceful worship to all the persons in the temple and as far as the second part of the prayer is concerned, the petitioner can approach the appropriate forum.

4. Considering that one part of the prayer was already considered by this Court in W.P(MD)No.5254 of 2025 and for the second part of the prayer, this Court cannot decide the dispute, thereby, the petitioner can approach the appropriate forum in accordance with law.



W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

5. With the above observations, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

WEB COPY

26.02.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

LR

To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. Through Its, the District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Keelakarai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Tahsildar,
Keelakarai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

5. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirupullani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.5299 of 2025

26.02.2025