



W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

Pandi

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District - 630 561.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manamadurai - 630 606.


3. The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

4. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

5. The Tahsildar,
Tahsildar Office,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

6. Kannan

7. Rajadurai



W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

8. Velmurugan
WEB COPY

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 3rd and 4th respondents not to harass the petitioner and his family members in a civil matter for a recovery of possession in favour of 8th respondent without any rights in Survey No.295, to an extent of 31 acres 75 cents in KK Pallam Revenue Village, Manamadurai Taluk, Sivagangai District based on the petitioners representation on 21.02.2025 within a stipulated time that may be fixed by this Court

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Senthilkumar,

For R1 to R4 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R5 : Mr.M.Senthil Ayyanar
Government Advocate

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 3 and 4 not to harass the petitioner and his family members in a civil matter, based on the petitioner's representation dated 21.02.2025.



W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. According to the petitioner, there is a civil dispute pending between the petitioner and the private respondents, thereby, the eighth respondent lodged a complaint as against the petitioner and taking advantage of the same, now the respondents 3 and 4 attempted to interfere with the civil dispute and harass the petitioners. Therefore, the petitioner sent the representation dated 21.02.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice for the respondent police and submits that already the respondent police received the complaint from the petitioner and the same is under consideration, which will be considered and disposed of within a period of one week. He further submits that during enquiry, the respondent police have not harassed the petitioner.

4. Since the third respondent has denied the alleged harassment, recording the same, the third respondent is directed to complete the enquiry and dispose of the petitioner's representation, dated 21.02.2025, within a period of one week in accordance with law.



W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

WEB COPY 5. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

04.03.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No
LR

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District - 630 561.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

4. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

5. The Tahsildar,
Tahsildar Office,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.5620 of 2025

04.03.2025