



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

1.N.Periyasamy Gounder

2.Sumathi

3.Ranjithkumar

4.Dhamayanthi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City,
Madurai District.
Crime No.21 of 2020.

2.K.Ganeshraja

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., 2023, to call for the records in C.C.No.966 of 2023 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai and quash the same and pass any such other and further orders as this Court.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025


WEB COPY

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Tamilmani
For R-1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R-2 : Mr.M.Muthusamy

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to the C.C.No.966 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, the defacto complainant is known person to the petitioners. Based on the complaint given by the second respondent/defacto complainant, the police has registered an FIR in Crime No. 21 of 2020. After investigation filed Final Report and the Trial Court has taken cognizance for the offences under Sections 420, 120B and 506(1) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Counsels on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.966 of 2023, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as the petitioners are known person. A compromise memo signed by the parties, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise, this petition is allowed and C.C.No. 966 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai is



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

WEB COPY

quashed. The compromise memo filed by the parties shall form part of this order.

27.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.3720 of 2025

27.02.2025