

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 06.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.4207 of 2025

C.Ramesh

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai Rural District, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kottamatti Police Station,
Melur Taluk, Madurai District.

3. A. Moorthi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the 2nd respondent to give adequate police protection for life and limb of the petitioner on the basis of the petitioner complaint, dated 29.01.2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.Raja Mohammed

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthikumar (R1,R2)
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

Mr.C.Mayil Vaganarajendran (R3)



ORDER


WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to direct the 2nd respondent to give adequate police protection for a life and limb of the petitioner on the basis of the petitioner complaint, dated 29.01.2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent.

2. According to the petitioner, he is the owner of the subject property through a registered sale deed. While so, when the petitioner attempted to survey and demarcate the property, the 3rd respondent raised objection and stopped the survey. In this regard, he has sent a representation on 29.01.2025 to the respondents police and the same was not considered. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the representation given by the petitioner was received by the respondent police only recently and they will conduct enquiry and it will be completed within a period of one week.

4. The learned counsel for the 3rd respondent would submit that the subject property is belongs to the 3rd respondent and there is a civil dispute



WEB COPY

pending between the parties and in this regard, they have filed a civil suit and the same is yet to be numbered. Therefore, police protection cannot be given to the petitioner.


5.Since the prayer of the petitioner is to consider his representation, dated 29.01.2025 and provide police protection and the same is under enquiry before the respondent police, it is for the 2nd respondent to conduct enquiry and dispose the same in accordance with law.

6.In view of the above, the 2nd respondent police is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 29.01.2025 and dispose of the same within a period of one week, after affording opportunities to both parties and in accordance with law.

7.With the above directions and observations, this petition stands disposed of.

06.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
PNM



To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai Rural District,
Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kottamatti Police Station,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

Crl.O.P(MD)No.4207 of 2025

06.03.2025