



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :27.02.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

Sheik Abdulla

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.
Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Manapparai,
Trichy District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,
Thuvarankurichi,
Trichy District.

4. Padma,
Presently working as Police Head Constable,
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,
Thuvarankurichi,
Trichy District.

5. Nasiren @ Nasiren Banu

6. Barakath

7. Ramjan

8. Jasmine

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in>



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

WEB COPY

9. Yasmine

10. Sikanthar

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the 2 to 4 respondents not to harass the petitioner and his family members under the guise of enquiry and direct the 1st respondent police take necessary action on the basis of petitioner's representation dated 11.02.2025.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Vadivelan

For R1 to R3 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents no.1 to 4 not to harass the petitioner and his family members under the guise of enquiry and to direct the 1st respondent police to take necessary action on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 11.02.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a matrimonial dispute pending between the petitioner and the 5th respondent, thereby the 5th respondent lodged a false complaint as against the petitioner. Based on the complaint, now the respondents no.2 to 4 have harassed the petitioner to appear for enquiry frequently and thereby the petitioner sent a representation dated 11.02.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence, he has filed this



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

petition.

WEB COPY

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that based on the complaint given by the 5th respondent, they conducted an enquiry and thereafter, the complaint was closed. For enquiry purpose only, they called the petitioner and during the enquiry, they have not harassed the petitioner as alleged in the petition.

4. Considering the prayer of the petitioner, this Court recording the above said submissionsof the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the official respondents that already an enquiry was conducted and closed and they have not harassed the petitioner, this criminal original petition is closed.

27.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes

mac



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

mac

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.
Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Manapparai,
Trichy District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,
Thuvarankurichi,
Trichy District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3699 of 2025

27.02.2025