



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 12.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.3343 of 2025

- 1.Chithambaram @ Prasanth
- 2.Velmurugan
- 3.Chithambaram @ Rajendran
- 4.Rajasekaran @ Sekar
- 5.Arul pandi @ Marimuthu

... Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.
In Cr.No.225/2015

- 2.Manivannan

...Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the first information report in Crime No. 225/2015 on the file of the 1st respondent/the inspector of police, Natham Police Station, Dindigul District and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Arjun

For R-1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R-2 : Mr.B.Vinodhkumar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

ORDER


WEB COPY

The petitioners sought for quashing of the impugned FIR in Crime No. 225 of 2015 on the file of the first respondent police, for the alleged offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 452, 427 and 506 (ii) IPC.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that due to money dispute, the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him and also threatened him with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advise of the elders and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4. The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo dated 21st February 2025. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



3

Cr1.O.P (MD) .No. _____ of 2025
In _____

1. Chithambaram @ Prasanth(M/32),
S/o.Chithambaram @ Rajendran,
Bilamarathupatty,
Sirugudi,
Natham
Dindigul District.
2. Velmurugan (M/60),
S/o.Murugesan,
1/40,Bilamarathupatty,
Sirugudi,
Natham
Dindigul District. ... **Petitioner / Accused-3,4,5,7 & 9**
3. Chithambaram @ Rajendran(M/63),
S/o.Nallan,
Bilamarathupatty,
Sirugudi,
Natham,
Dindigul District.
4. Rajasekaran @ Sekar(M/37),
S/o.Malaiyandi,
Sirugudi,
Natham,
Dindigul District.
5. Arun pandi @ Marimuthu (M/28),
S/o.Shanmugam,
5-527. North Street,
Sirugudi
Natham,
Dindigul District. ... **Petitioner / Accused-3,4,5,7 & 9**

(Signature) M. V.
N. S. K. N. L.
M. S. K. S. S. S. S. S.

P. H. Coe
 Biv
 (500/14)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

WEB COPY

-Vs-

1. State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.
in Cr.No.225 / 2015

--- Respondents / Complainant

2. Manivannan (56/2025),
S/o. Alagarsamy,
7/361/0, Ward No.7,
East Street,
Natham,
Dindigul District.

--2nd Respondent / De-facto Complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO

The petitioners / Accused and 2nd Respondent / De-facto complainant humbly submits as follows

1) *[Signature]*
2) *[Signature]*
3) *[Signature]*
4) *[Signature]*
Petitioners

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS

MR.S.ARJUN.BBA.,LLB.,
MS.No:4372 /2019
CENTRAL LAW COLLEGE-SALEM
13/E2 DHARBAR NAGAR,
NATHAM.
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.


5) *[Signature]*

[Signature]
2nd Respondent *[Signature]*

COUNSEL FOR 2nd RESPONDENT

MR.B.VINOTHKUMAR B.SC.BL.,
MS.No:300/2014
RAJIV GANDHI LAW COLLEGE
BANGLORE.
SAMAYANALLUR,
MADURAI.

1. It is humbly submits that based on the 2nd respondent Complaint, the petitioners are arrayed as accused by the 1st Respondent in Crime No. 225 of 2015 for alleged offences U/S. 147, 294(b), 323, 452, 427 and 506(ii) Of Indian Penal Code given by the 2nd Respondent / de-facto complainant on 14.05.2025 and the same is pending.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

5

2. In the meantime, on the advice their well-wishers, the petitioners and the 2nd Respondent entered in to compromise. Based on the same, the above quash petition is filed before this Honourable Court, seeking to quash the FIR In Crime No.225 of 2015. Therefore, the parties here in jointly pray that this Honourable Court may be pleased to quash the Fir in Crime No: 225 of 2015, on the file of the 1st Respondent. The 2nd Respondent herein also filed an affidavit to that effect.

3. It is humbly submit that the 2nd respondent / De-facto complainant does not have no objection to quash non petitioners also.

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the FIR in Crime No: 225 of 2015, on the file of the 1st Respondent by recording Joint Compromise memo and affidavit filed by the 2nd respondent in support of the same and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this 21th day of February 2025.

C. Chiamaram.

M. Vign

N. S. G. L. S. L.

M. S. G. L. S. L.

S. G. L. S. L.


PETITIONER

J. S. G. L. S. L.

2nd respondent

Counsel for Petitioner

Counsel for 2nd Respondent



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

5. The petitioners and the second respondent (Aadhaar No.4570 2253 7411) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.S.Karthikeyan, S.S.I., Natham Police Station. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the relationship between the the parties, who have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No. 586 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent police, is hereby quashed as against all the accused and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

12.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
LS

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

6/7



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.3343 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

LS

Crl.O.P(MD).No.3343 of 2025

12.09.2025