



Crl.R.C.(MD)No.222 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.R.C.(MD)No.222 of 2025

Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No.110 of 2024.

2.M.Kumar

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 438 r/w 442 BNSS, to call for the records and set aside the order, dated 20.01.2025 made in Cr.M.P.No.9 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Senthil Murugan,

For Respondents : Ms.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
for R1.



Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.222 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Revision is directed against the order passed in Cr.M.P.No.9 of 2025 in Crime No.110 of 2024, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, dismissing the petition filed under Section 497 r/w 503 of BNSS.

2. The petitioner claims to be the owner of the Tractor and Truck bearing Reg.No.TN-32-T-3776. On 15.07.2024, the respondent police intercepted the vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN-32-T-3776 and seized the vehicle as the same was used for transporting of sand illegally without any valid license or permit and registered a case in Crime No.110 of 2024 for the offences under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 21(1) of MMDR Act.

3. It is not in dispute that the petitioner has approached the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi for returning of the said vehicle in Crl.M.P.No.9 of 2025 and the learned Judicial Magistrate, vide order dated 20.01.2025, has dismissed the petition. Aggrieved by the order of dismissal, the petitioner has now come forward with the present revision.



Crl.R.C.(MD)No.222 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle and that the petitioner is not having any previous cases for similar offence and that the said vehicle was not involved in any other cases.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN-32-T-3776, is owned by the petitioner, that the said vehicle has no connection whatever with the alleged occurrence, that the vehicle is with the police for the past seven months, that if the vehicle is kept in open place, the value of the said vehicle will get deteriorated and that therefore interim custody may be granted to the petitioner.



Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.222 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that if the vehicle is kept in open place exposing to sun and rain, the value of the vehicle will be deteriorated and no purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle in custody, this Court is inclined to allow the revision.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Petition is allowed and the order, dated 20.01.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No.9 of 2025 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, is hereby set aside and the vehicle/Tractor and Truck bearing Reg.No.TN-32-T-3776, is ordered to be returned to the petitioner for interim custody subject to the confiscation proceedings to be taken by the concern Department or by the Court on the following conditions:-

(a) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** as non-refundable deposit for the said vehicle to the credit of the District Mineral Foundation Trust, Ramanathapuram District;



Crl.R.C.(MD)/No.222 of 2025

WEB COPY

(b) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only)**, with two sureties for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi District;

(c) the petitioner shall deposit the original Registration Certificate of the vehicle before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi ;

(d) the petitioner shall not alienate and shall not make any alteration in the vehicle;

(e) the petitioner shall produce the vehicle before the Court and before the respondent police as and when required;

(f) the petitioner shall give an undertaking before the respondent/authority concerned stating that he will not use the vehicle in question for any illegal activities in future, failing which the respondent/trial Court is at liberty to confiscate the vehicle.

21.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
das



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.(MD)No.222 of 2025

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

das

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Order made in
Crl.R.C.(MD)No.222 of 2025

Dated: 21.02.2025