



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

1.V.K.Gurusamy

2.Vijayalakshmi

3.Murugan

4.Muniyasamy

5.Thangavellammal

6.Sadaiyandi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by,
Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Temple Range,
Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.
Crime No.999/2018.

2.Kanagaraj

... Respondents



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., to call for the records relating to the charge sheet in C.C.No.612 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai in Crime No.999 of 2018 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Ilavarasan

For R-1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-2 : Mr.K.Nithis Kumar

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to the C.C.No.612 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, the defacto complainant is known person to the petitioners. Based on the complaint given by the second respondent/defacto complainant, the police has registered an FIR in Crime No.999 of 2018. After investigation filed Final Report and the



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

WEB COPY

Trial Court has taken cognizance for the offences under Sections 147, 148 and 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 4 and 5 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Counsels on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.612 of 2022, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as the petitioner is known person. A compromise memo, dated 14.02.2025 signed by the parties, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.612 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai is quashed. The compromise memo, dated 14.02.2025 filed by the parties shall form part of this order.

21.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR

To

1.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Temple Range,
Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai City.

2.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Madurai.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.3194 of 2025

21.02.2025