



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/02/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.3005 of 2025

M. Mahendran

... Petitioner/ Accused No.4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pattukottai Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No. 12 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. K.Dinesh,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.12 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-Police.



ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 14.02.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner / accused No.4 apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and 25(1A) of Arms Act, 1959 in Crime No.12 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that due to the money dispute, on 08.01.2025, at about 9.15 pm, there was a wordy quarrel between the parties and that the petitioner herein and others attacked the defacto complainant with sickle and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.K.Dinesh, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submits



that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court.

WEB COPY

He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that one previous case is pending against the petitioner and the injured was treated as out-patient. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending. Hence, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. In view of the offence alleged against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation is not necessary in this case. The petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility for absconding. Considering the same and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that the injured was treated as out-patient and with a view to give one more opportunity to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.




WEB COPY

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai, within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 am until further orders;

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.



WEB COPY

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or his work place.

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(ix) The petitioner, shall not directly or in directly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and the witnesses and tamper with the evidence.

(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are



imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

Sd/-
18/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

trp

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PATTUKKOTTAI

2 DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJORE DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PATTUKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.



WEB COPY

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.K.DINESH, Advocate (SR-1861[I] dated 20/02/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3005 of 2025
Date :18/02/2025

ES/SKN/SAR /03.03.2025/7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023.