



CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.02.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025

Veerapathiran

... Petitioner / Accused No.3

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kottar Police Station,
Kanniyakumar District.
(Crime No.79 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in Crime No.79 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muniyandi
For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 13.02.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner / accused No.3 apprehends arrest at the hands of the



CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025

WEB COPY

respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 132(2), 191(2), 296(b), 303(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.79 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein and others went to the TASMAC shop and created problems, where the defacto complainant was working as a Salesman and attacked him, and threatened him with dire consequences and also took some money from the TASMAC shop. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.S.Muniyandi, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending. Hence, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. In view of the offence alleged against the petitioner, this Court is of the view



CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025


WEB COPY

that custodial interrogation is not necessary in this case. The petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility for absconding. Considering the same and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioner and taking note of the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and with a view to give an opportunity to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Nagercoil, Kanniyakumari District, within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 am until further orders;



CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025

WEB COPY

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or his work place.

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(ix) The petitioner, shall not directly or in directly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and the witnesses.

(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].



CRL.OP(MD). No.2932 of 2025

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
17/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

trp

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, NAGERCOIL, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KOTTAR POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.MUNIYANDI, Advocate (SR-1749[I] dated 18/02/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2932 of 2025
Date :17/02/2025

RS/SKN/SAR-(26.02.2025) 5P 6C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023