



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

G.Ranjitha

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Madurai Regional Passport Office,
Race Course Road,
Madurai.

2. The State Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent No. 1 to issue fresh Passport to the petitioner on the basis of the petitioner's Application in File No. MD1067187267124 dated 06.12.2024, based on the petitioner's representation sent to the 1st respondent, within a time stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Arunnithy

For Respondents : Mr.P.Sundaravadivel



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

CGSC for R1

Mr.K.Gnanasekaran

Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R2

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the first respondent to issue the passport to the petitioner in Application No.MD1067187267124, dated 06.12.2024, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2.The petitioner has made an application for issuance of passport and the application Number is MD1067187267124. While so, on receipt of adverse police verification report, the first respondent had issued a communication dated 27.01.2025, required an explanation from the petitioner. Challenging the same, this Writ Petition is filed.

3. The learned Government Advocate for the second respondent would submit that the matter is still pending in FIR stage and has not resulted in filing a final report.



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the entire materials available on record.

5. It is a settled proposition of law that a mere pendency of FIR cannot be a bar for consideration of claim for issuance of passport. This Court in the case of *W.Jaihar William Vs State of Tamil Nadu* reported in **2014 (2) CWC 684** has held that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings, unless Judicial Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, on filing of charge sheet on completion of investigation against the accused. Right to travel abroad is a fundamental right.

6. In view of the same, the first respondent is hereby directed to consider the application of the petitioner dated 06.12.2024, for issuance of passport without reference to the FIR lodged against him and issue passport, if he is otherwise eligible for the same. The first respondent shall comply with the said direction on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

27.02.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

PKN



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Madurai Regional Passport Office,
Race Course Road,
Madurai.

2. The State Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.4341 of 2025

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD) No.4341 of 2025

27.02.2025