

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.02.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE R.POORNIMA**

W.P(MD)No.4014 of 2025

Renubai

... Petitioner

.Vs.

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home Department(Prison),
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, St.George Fort,
Chennai-9.


2.The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,
Madurai Range,
Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Madurai.

4.The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the third respondent to grant twenty days emergency leave to the Petitioner's sons without escort, who are confined in Central Prison, Madurai by name, Madhav Magesh, son of Gopal(C.P.No.6256), aged

1/5



WEB COPY

about 34 years and Bala Yogesh, son of Gopal(C.P.No.6259), aged about 33 years, life convicts, for the purpose of attending the serious illness of their mother by considering the Petitioner's representation, dated 3.2.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Srikanth

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Addl.Public Prosecutor


ORDER

DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN,J.
AND
R.POORNIMA,J.

Mandamus seeking direction to the third respondent to grant 20 days emergency leave to the sons of the Petitioner, who are life convicts and confined in Central Prison, Madurai, is before this Court for consideration.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

3.The representation of the Petitioner, dated 3.2.2025 is sought to be considered in this Writ Petition. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor reports that the Superintendent of Prison,



WEB COPY

Madurai has duly considered the representation of the Petitioner, dated 3.2.2025 and rejected the same for the reasons stated in it.

4.A perusal of the rejection order indicates that the joint request for two life convicts and request for leave before compliance of three years of imprisonment been said as reasons. Whether these reasons are sustainable to be decided, if it is challenged. Hence the Writ Petition is disposed of recording the fact that the representation of the Petitioner been duly considered and rejected.

[G.J.,J.] [R.P.,J.]

21.02.2025

NCS : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
vsn

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home Department(Prison),
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, St.George Fort,
Chennai-9.
- 2.The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,
Madurai Range,
Madurai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Madurai.

3/5



WEB COPY

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.

Copy to

The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.
and
R.POORNIMA, J.

vsn

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.4014 of 2025

21.02.2025