



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.02.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

1.Kishore @ Kishorepandi
2.Ilayaraja
3.Priya @ Yogapriya
4.Pavithra
5.Pandiammal
6.Amarnath

... Petitioners / Accused Nos. 1 to 6

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.40 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in Crime No.40 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Karthick Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjay Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis> This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 13.02.2025



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying
WEB COPY
to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 303(2) of BNS and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.40 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners herein waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, attacked him and also threatened him with dire consequences. The petitioners also harassed the defacto complainant's wife. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.A.Karthick Kumar, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He, however, submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioners. He further submits that it is a case in counter and the



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

investigation of the case is pending. Therefore, he prays to dismiss this Criminal
WEB COPY
Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence alleged against the petitioners and also considering the fact that the petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility of absconding and taking note of the fact that there are no previous cases against the petitioners and also with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioners to reform themselves, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai, Dindigul District, within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of
WEB COPY
identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

(iv) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. The petitioners shall also not cause threat to the defacto complainant and his wife and also the witnesses and tamper with the evidences.

(vi) The petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(viii) The petitioners shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or his work place.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are
WEB COPY
imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of
Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the
conditions stated supra.

sd/-
17/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD). No.2857 of 2025

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2857 of 2025
Date :17/02/2025

SA/SKN/SAR. /26.02.2025/6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.