



CRL OP(MD). No.2755 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/02/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.2755 of 2025

1. A. Ariya
2. Vishnu
3. Ebrahim
4. Adhul Raja

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu
rep.by the Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Kodaikamnal
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.43 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr. Antony Santhosh.U.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 43 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 12.02.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to



CRL OP(MD). No.2755 of 2025

grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 4 apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) and 303(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.43 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, on 04.02.2025 at about 2.30 pm, the defacto complainant went to have lunch at the petitioners' restaurant and while paying the Bill, there was a scuffle between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.U.Antony Santhosh, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. He therefore prays to grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners herein have attacked the defacto complainant and also abused him in filthy language. He, however, submits that the defacto complainant has sustained only simple injury and discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently



opposes to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and considering the nature of offence and also considering the fact that the defacto complainant has sustained only simple injury, and was discharged from the hospital and with a view to give opportunity to the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kodaikanal within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate;

(ii) Thereafter, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kodaikanal daily at 10.00 am until further orders;

(iii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of



CRL OP(MD). No.2755 of 2025

Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of
WEB COPY
identity proofs to ensure their identity;

(iv) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate;

(v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence;

(vi) The petitioners shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house and his workplace; and


(vii) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
14/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.2755 of 2025

TRP
TO
WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KODAIKANAL.

2 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
KODAIKANAL.

3 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION,
KODAIKANAL
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2755 of 2025
Date :14/02/2025

SA/SKN/SAR. /25.02.2025/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.