



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2891 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 18.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.2891 of 2025

1.Vengateshwaran,
2.Sivapandi @ Suvakath. ... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 2

VS.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by the Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Town Police Station,
Madurai.
(Cr.No.379 of 2023)

2.Solomon. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.94 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Thirumangalam, Madurai and quash the same.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2891 of 2025

For petitioners : Ms.S.Prabha

For Respondents : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl) for R1
Ms.M.Meenakshi for R2

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.94 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Thirumangalam, Madurai, for the offences under Sections 448, 294(b), 323, 355 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.379 of 2023 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioners and defacto complainant are relatives. Based on the complaint given by the second respondent/defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 379 of 2023 for the offences under Sections 448, 294(b), 323, 355 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2891 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.94 of 2024, the matter has also been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are relatives. A compromise memo, dated 06.02.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.94 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court,



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2891 of 2025

Thirumangalam, Madurai, is quashed. The compromise memo dated

06.02.2025 filed by the parties shall form part of this order.

18.02.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

To

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Town Police Station,
Madurai.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2891 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

CrI.OP(MD) No.2891 of 2025

18.02.2025