



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2897 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :17.02.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.2897 of 2025

Samidurai

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3. Essakimuthu

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct to the 2nd respondent not to interfere into civil dispute regarding the petitioner's tenancy rights.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ramu

For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Criminal)

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed by the petitioner to

direct the 2nd respondent not to interfere in the civil dispute regarding the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2897 of 2025

petitioner's tenancy right.

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the lessee in S.F.No.609 /3 to an extent of 2.57 acres, 609/5 to an extent of 2.33 acres and 611 to an extent of 3.46 acres situated at Kadambankulam, South Valliyoor Village Part -I, Radhapuram Taluk, Thirunelveli District, which is belongs to the Thiruvavadudurai Aadhinam. The petitioner is enjoying the said land as a lessee by entering an agreement with the said Aadhinam and doing agricultural activities. While so, the 2nd respondent called the petitioner on 20.01.2025 for enquiry based on the complaint given by the 3rd respondent. The dispute is purely civil in nature, however the 2nd respondent harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry and hence, he filed this petition.

3. The Government Advocate (Criminal) appearing for the respondents no.1 and 2 would submit that there is a tenancy dispute between the parties and thereby, the 3rd respondent lodged a complaint and C.S.R.No. 93 of 2025 was assigned. As per the complaint, there are chances for clash between the two separate groups, therefore they sent the representation to the concerned Tahsildar to convene peace meeting and to take appropriate action. Therefore, they have not interfered in the civil dispute, however in



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2897 of 2025

order to maintain the law and order, they called the petitioner and already they sent the representation to the Tahsildar to take appropriate action.

4. Since the respondent police have received the complaint from the 3rd respondent as against the petitioner and there is a chances of law and order issue and already the 2nd respondent has also sent the representation to the concerned Tahsildar for taking steps to convene peace committee meeting, this Court is inclined to dispose the petition by recording the submission of the 2nd respondent. In view of the same, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of. No costs.

17.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes

mac



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2897 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

mac

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2897 of 2025

17.02.2025