



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

V.Shanmuga Ananth @ Chandru

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamilnadu, rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.271 of 2023)

2.Murugesan
The Sub Inspector of Police (S.S.I.),
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Section 528 of Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for the records pertaining to the final report in P.R.C.No.319 of 2023, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirunelveli and quash the same insofar as the petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Meena

For R1 : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

For R2

: No appearance

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the final report in P.R.C.No.319 of 2023, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirunelveli, filed against the petitioner for the offence punishable under Section 353 of the IPC.

2. The allegation in the final report is that when the second respondent, Sub Inspector of Police, Thalaiyuthu Police Station, along with his team, attempted to apprehend one Subash, arrayed as A1, standing on the road in a suspicious manner, the petitioner and two others, who are his friends, prevented the police from apprehending the said Subash; and that thereafter, the said Subash took a knife and threatened the police, which was videographed by the Sub Inspector of Police.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in the impugned final report, the only charge against the petitioner is under Section 353 of the IPC; that there is no allegation that the petitioner used criminal force or assaulted the police so as to attract the offence



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

punishable under Section 353 of the IPC; and that, in any case, the allegations against the petitioner are trivial in nature and would be covered under Section 95 of the IPC.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the first respondent would submit that the allegations would attract the offence punishable under Section 353 of the IPC, as the petitioner and two others prevented the police from apprehending A1, who was armed with a knife and also threatened the police of dire consequences.

5. Admittedly, no weapon was seized from the petitioner. Even if the allegation against the petitioner is accepted as true, it only suggests that the petitioner had prevented a public servant from apprehending A1. There is no allegation of the use of criminal force or assault by the petitioner. This Court, in similar circumstances, in *Anbuchelvan @ Anbuselvan vs. State of Tamil Nadu, represented by the Inspector of Police, Thiruppuvanam Police Station and another*, vide order dated 20.12.2024 rendered in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.15357 of 2024, has held as follows:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

24. *Before entering into further discussion with regard to the offence under Section 353 I.P.C., it is necessary to refer the said section:*

353. Assault or criminal force to deter public servant from discharge of his duty.—

Whoever assaults or uses criminal force to any person being a public servant in the execution of his duty as such public servant, or with intent to prevent or deter that person from discharging his duty as such public servant, or in consequence of anything done or attempted to be done by such person in the lawful discharge of his duty as such public servant, shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years, or with fine, or with both.

25. *The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Manik Taneja and another Vs. State of Karnataka** reported in (2015)7 SCC 423 has held that the person accused of the offence should have assaulted the public servant or used criminal force with the intention to prevent or deter the public servant from discharging his duty and the relevant passage is extracted hereunder:*

“A reading of the above provision shows that the essential ingredients of the offence under Section 353 IPC are that the person accused of the offence should have assaulted the public servant or used criminal force with the intention to prevent or deter the public servant from discharging his duty as such public servant. By perusing the materials available on record, it appears that no force was used by the appellants to commit such an offence. There is absolutely nothing on record to show that the appellants either assaulted the respondents or used criminal force to prevent the second respondent from discharging his official duty. Taking the uncontroverted allegations, in our view, that the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

ingredients of the offence under Section 353 IPC are not made out."

26. In the present case, the prosecution does not specifically allege that the petitioner assaulted or used criminal force against the second respondent with the intention of preventing or deterring him from discharging his duties. Even if there were preparations to use such force, it cannot be considered an assault or use of criminal force aimed at deterring him from performing his duties.

6. The above observations would squarely apply to the facts of the case. For the aforesaid reasons, this Court is inclined to quash the final report in P.R.C.No.319 of 2023, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirunelveli qua the petitioner.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

16.09.2025

JEN

Index: Yes/ No

Neutral Citation: Yes / No

Speaking Order / Non-Speaking Order

Copy To:

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Tirunelveli.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

JEN

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2292 of 2024

16.09.2025