



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/02/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.2321 of 2025

1. Govindasamy
2. Kavitha
3. Jegadeesan

... Petitioners/ Accused rank not known

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kumbur Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No. of 2025

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : S.Gokulraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjay Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-31AB. For Anticipatory bail in Crime No. of 2025 on the file of the Respondent

Police

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 04.02.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 118(1) of BNS r/w. Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998, in Crime No.13 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners herein are said to have attacked the defacto complainant and abused her in filthy language and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

4. Mr.S.Gokulraj, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. He therefore prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjay Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, submits that the defacto complainant has sustained only simple injury and was discharged from the hospital.



However, he vehemently opposed to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the nature of offence and also considering the fact that the defacto complainant has sustained only simple injury, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned District Munsif – Cum - Judicial Magistrate, Veda sandur, Dindigul District within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate.

(ii) Thereafter, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly twice i.e., on every Monday and Friday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(iii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of



Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of
WEB COPY
identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iv) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence;

(vi) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
06/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TRP
TO
WEB COPY

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VEDASANDUR,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDUGAL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KUMBUR POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.GOKULRAJ, Advocate (SR-1477[I] dated 07/02/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2321 of 2025
Date :06/02/2025

NBF / SKN /SAR-I (19/02/2025) 5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023