



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

WEB COPY

Date : 06/02/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.2315 of 2025

1. Kalaiselvi

2. Ramachandran

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Chatrakudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No. 13/2025

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s. Alaguraj.V,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.13 of 2025 on the file



of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 04.02.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioners / accused apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.13 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, due to family dispute, on 21.01.2025 at about 9.30 am, when the defacto complainant went to attend a family function conducted at one Ganesan's house the said Ganesan and the petitioners said to have prevented her from entering into the house and attacked the defacto complainant and also abused him in filthy language and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

4. Mr.V.Alaguraj, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He




further submits that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there was a family dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and the petitioners herein attacked the defacto complainant and now, the injured was discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioners have permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the nature of dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and the nature of injury allegedly caused by the petitioners to the defacto complainant and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:



WEB COPY


(i) The petitioners shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the events of their arrest or in the event of their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate.

(ii) Thereafter, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police weekly twice i.e., on every Monday and Friday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(iii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity;

(iv) The petitioners shall furnish their residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(v) The petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not



tamper the evidence; and

(vi) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

Sd/-

06/02/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.


TRP

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PARAMAKUDI.

2 DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHATRAKUDI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

WEB COPY

+1 CC to M/s.K.MUTHUVAI ILAYARAJA, Advocate (SR-1493[I]
dated 07/02/2025)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2315 of 2025

Date :06/02/2025

NBF / SKN /SAR- (24/02/2025) 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in
this format from 17/07/2023