



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.02.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

**W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025
and
C.M.P(MD)No.3095 of 2025**

1.The District Educational Officer (Elementary),
Tuticorin District.

2.The Block Development Officer,
Alwarthirunagari,
Tuticorin District.

... Appellants/Respondents

vs.

The Correspondent,
Meeraniya Middle School,
Alangiyamnavalapuram,
Alwarthirunagari Post,
Eral Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

... Respondent/Writ Petitioner

PRAYER : Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 29.10.2024 made in W.P(MD)No.26200 of 2024.



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Appellants : Mr.J.Ashok
Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent : Mr.V.Panneerselvam

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by J. NISHA BANU, J.)

The present Writ Appeal is directed against the order passed by the Writ Court dated 29.10.2024 made in W.P(MD)No.26200 of 2024.

2. The learned Additional Government Pleader would submit that,

(i) The respondent school, which is a minority school, would have no right to upgrade the sanctioned post without proper permission of the concerned authorities;

(ii) since there are surplus teachers in the other schools in the District, the respondent's request for approval of appointment cannot be considered; and



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY

(iii) the approval of appointment of the Teacher in the respondent school could not be granted on the ground that the Teacher does not possess a pass in the Teacher's Eligibility Test (TET);

On these grounds, he seeks for setting aside the order of the learned Single Judge.

3.We are unable to endorse the grounds raised by the learned Additional Government Pleader for the following reasons:

(i) Firstly, the issue with regard to rights of minority schools upgrading sanctioned posts has already been dealt with in several writ petitions as well as by the Division Benches of this Court holding that a minority institution will be well within its power to upgrade a sanctioned post. One such case of the coordinate Bench of this Court is *the Chief Educational Officer, Tirunelveli and another Vs. S.Josephin Vijaya and another, dated 14.12.2017 in W.A.(MD).No. 1497 of 2017.*

(ii) Secondly, the issue as to whether the educational authorities are empowered to reject the approval of appointment of a teacher in a minority school on the ground that there are surplus teachers in the other schools in the District,



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY

has already been dealt with by this Court in several decisions including the decision in the case of *the Secretary to Government, Government of Tamil Nadu School Education Department, Fort St.George, Chennai – 9 Vs. Iruthaya Amali [W.A.(MD).No.76 of 2019 etc., batch dated 31.03.2021]*. One such decision was by a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of *the Chief Educational Officer, Tirunelveli District and another Vs. A.X.Mino and another* passed in *W.A.(MD).No.1855 of 2024 dated 16.10.2024*. The learned Single Judge had also allowed the Writ Petition and held that the educational authorities cannot reject the application seeking for approval of appointments on this ground.

(iii) Thirdly, this Court in exercise of its power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been consistently holding that a pass in the Teacher's Eligibility Test is not a mandatory pre-requirement for appointment of a Teacher in a minority school and that the educational authorities have no authority to reject a proposal for approval of such appointment made. The orders of the Division Benches of this Court in *W.A.No.179 of 2024, dated 22.01.2024 [The Government of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of School Education and others vs. T.Selvarani and another]* and in



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY

W.A.(MD)No.670 of 2024, dated 12.04.2024 [The District Educational Officer, Sivagangai and another vs. Y.Joseph Mercy Rani and another], are two such orders, wherein, this ratio has been upheld.

4. When Coordinate Benches have already taken such a view, we see no justification on the part of the authorities in having rejected the proposal of the minority schools seeking for approval of the appointments made. Hence, we do not find any merits in the Writ Appeal.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Appeal stands dismissed. The appellants are directed to approve the appointment of one H.R.Syed Sirajdeen as B.T Assistant (Tamil) Teacher in the respondent School, with effect from 09.10.2017, together with all service and monetary benefits, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition stands closed.

[J.N.B.,J.] & [S.S.Y.,J.]

28.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The District Educational Officer (Elementary),
Tuticorin District.
- 2.The Block Development Officer,
Alwarthirunagari,
Tuticorin District.



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

J.NISHA BANU, J.
and
S.SRIMATHY, J.

ps

ORDER MADE IN
W.A(MD)No.389 of 2025

DATED : 28.02.2025