



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2262 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 20.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.2262 of 2025

1. B.Muthuvel

2. V.Baskaran

3. B.Vasantha

... Petitioners


Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By
the Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
S.P.Pattinam,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District
Cr No.63/2024.

2. S.Sundaravalli

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records of the complaint in C.C.No.67 of 2024 U/s.294(B), 323 and 506(1) of IPC r/w 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 on the file of



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2262 of 2025

the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai and quash the same as
devoid of merits against the petitioners.

For petitioners : Mr.Atham Ali.S,
For R1 : Mr. M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl side)
For R2 : Mr.S.Kamaraj

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.67 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvadanai for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(1) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.63 of 2024 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners and defacto complainant belong to same village. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No.63 of 2024 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(1) of IPC.



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2262 of 2025


WEB COPY 3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.67 of 2024, the matter has also been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are same village. A compromise memo, dated 20.02.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2262 of 2025

and C.C.No.67 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court,

Thiruvadanai is quashed. The compromise memo dated 20.02.2025 filed

by the parties shall form part of this order.

20.02.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
LR

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruvadanai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam Police Station,
S.P.Pattinam,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.2262 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

LR

CrI.OP(MD) No.2262 of 2025

20.02.2025