



W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 31.01.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

M.Packiyam @ Packiyalakshmi

.. Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Kodaikanal,
Dindigul District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent to complete the speedy enquiry of the complaint of the petitioner dated 23.11.2024(Reference No.EUB24567753) and 27.11.2024 and take necessary action in accordance with law within the time framed by this Court.



W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Thirumurugan
For Respondents : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to directing the third respondent to complete the speedy enquiry of the complaint of the petitioner dated 23.11.2024(Reference No.EUB24567753) and 27.11.2024 and take necessary action in accordance with law within the time framed by this Court.

2. The petitioner's case is that the third respondent at the instance of Chandrasekar and Hemavathi is harassing the petitioner to vacate her property, which was derived from her father by way of Will and handed over the same to Chandrasekar stating that there was civil court order. According to the petitioner, there was no Civil court order. In this regard, the petitioner had also filed CrI.O.P(MD).No.21442 of 2024 seeking not to harass and the same was closed on the ground that the complaint lodged by Chandrasekar was enquired and closed. The contention of the petitioner is that though the said Chandrasekar's complaint was enquiry,



W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

the petitioner's complaint that the said Chandrasekar and others is attempting to grab her property by preparing forged document and they also abused and threatened her, is not conducted so far. The petitioner has also sent representation in detail on 27.11.2024. Since no action has been taken so far, the present petition has been filed.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that if the petitioner appears along with supporting document before the respondent police, enquiry on the petitioner's complaint would be conducted and the same would be concluded.

4. In view of the same, the petitioner to appear before the respondent police along with her supporting documents and on that day, the respondent police shall ensure the presence of counter petitioner and thereafter, conduct enquiry and take appropriate decision on the petitioner's complaint in accordance with law and dispose of the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

5. With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

No costs.

31.01.2025

Index: Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Rmk

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Office of the Superintendent of Police
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Kodaikanal,
Dindigul District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Kodaikanal Police Station,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

Rmk

W.P.(MD)No.3032 of 2025

31.01.2025