

W.P.(MD) No.2928 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.01.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL**

W.P(MD)No.2928 of 2025

Sait Mohamed

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Ganesh Nager Police Station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.

3. Chelladurai

4. Veeramthu

5. Ramamoorthy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 1st and 2nd respondents to dispose the petitioner's representation seeking to take necessary action against the respondents 3 to 5 for illegally conducting Katta Panchayat (Kangaru Court) on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 21.11.2024 on the file of the 2nd respondent within the time stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.2928 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mathavan

For Respondents :


For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi

Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Mr.R.M.Anbunithi, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) takes notice for the respondents 1 & 2. Since the prayer is to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 21.11.2024, this Court is inclined to pass orders without issuing notice to the other private respondents 3 to 5.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that he has a property in Pudukottai Town, Mettupatti Village and he has been raising construction in that place and the arrayed private respondents 3 to 5 have attempted to restrain him from raising any construction, alleging that the property is 'vari poramboke'. But, he had already sent a representation to the District Collector and Tahsildar, who already inspected the property and found that there is no encroachment in the 'vari poramboke'. However, the private respondents 3 to 5 are still causing disturbance to the petitioner. Therefore, he sent a representation to the 2nd respondent, but they have not considered the same. Hence, he prayed to issue a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to the consider the



W.P.(MD) No.2928 of 2025

representation of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that based on the representation given by the petitioner, they made an enquiry; but, the petitioner himself did not cooperate for the enquiry. However, they will conduct enquiry and dispose the representation of the petitioner as early as possible.

4. Considering the above said submissions and the undertaking given by the learned Government Advocate, the 2nd respondent is directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 21.11.2024 within a period of four weeks from the date of a receipt of a copy of this order and the petitioner is also directed to cooperate for the enquiry.

5. With the above said observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

04.02.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
mac



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.2928 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

mac

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Ganesh Nager Police Station,
Pudukkottai,
Pudukkottai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P(MD)No.2928 of 2025

04.01.2025