



CRL OP(MD). No.1698 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.1698 of 2025

Jagadeesh Chellaih

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.4 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. T. Lenin Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For intervenor/defacto complainant : Mr.R.Anand

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.4 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD), No.1698 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4) of BNS, in Crime No.4 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the authorized representative of the Ultra Mega Power Pvt. Ltd Co., The company had purchased the subject property vide sate deed dated 14.08.2012 through its authorized representative namely, Pawan Kumar. A1 to A3 had entered into a conspiracy against the company and A3 has executed the registered power of attorney deed dated 25.10.2018 in favour of A2 without having valid title over the property and by creating fake letter head of the company for which an FIR in Crime No.14 of 2019 was registered against A1 to A3 on the file of DCB, Tirunelveli and the District Registrar conducted enquiry and cancelled the above said power deed dated 25.10.2018. Again, A1 sold the property in question to the petitioner herein using fake authorization letter and subsequently, the

2/6



CRL OP(MD), No.1698 of 2025

petitioner sold the same to the 5th accused vide registered sale deed dated 09.12.2024. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that the investigation is almost completed. He further submitted that the petitioner has complied the condition imposed in the interim anticipatory bail and the petitioner has submitted all the original documents before the investigation officer. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor strongly objected for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD), No.1698 of 2025

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed in the interim anticipatory bail regularly, the interim anticipatory bail already granted is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



CRL OP(MD), No.1698 of 2025

**(b)the petitioner shall appear before the respondent
police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;**

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

20.11.2025

3/4

PJL

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi.
2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch, Thoothukudi District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.1698 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.1698 of 2025

Date : 20.11.2025