



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.01.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

and

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE

W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.1488 of 2025

Chinnakalimuthu

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Srivilliputhur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the second respondent dated 05.12.2024 in



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

WEB COPY

Na.Ka.A1/2066/2024 and quash the same and consequently forbear the respondents from interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property in S.No.603/38 situated at Chokkalampatti Utkadai, Pattakulam – Sallipatti Village, Srivilliputhur Taluk, Virudhunagar District.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Anandkumar

For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr.J.Ashok

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**]

By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Challenging the impugned notice of the second respondent dated 05.12.2024, the petitioner is before this Court.

3.After issuance of a notice under Section 7 of 'the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905' (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') dated 05.11.2024, calling for the petitioner's objections, proposed action of



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

removing of the encroachment, an order under Section 6 of the Act dated 05.12.2024 has been issued.

4.As against an order of eviction passed under Section 6 of the Act, an appeal remedy is provided for under Section 10 of the Act to the District Collector.

5.What is put under challenge in the present writ petition is only a consequential notice, which is not an action provided under the Act for evicting the petitioner from the subject lands. The petitioner has chosen to challenge the final orders passed under Section 6 of the Act. Even otherwise, when the Act provides for an effective appeal remedy before the District Collector against order under Section 6, it would not be appropriate to entertain the present writ petition, which challenges the Section 6 notice. Hence, we are of the view that an opportunity can be given to the petitioner to avail the statutory remedy and protect his possession till such time.



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

WEB COPY

6.In the light of the above observation, the petitioner is granted liberty to file an appeal before the first respondent District Collector under Section 10 of the Act within a period of one [1] week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till such time, the respondents shall not take any coercive action to disturb the petitioner's possession over the subject land.

7.Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

[M.S.R.,J.] & [A.D.M.C.,J.]

27.01.2025

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

MR



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

To

1.The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Srivilliputhur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

M.S.RAMESH, J.

and

A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

MR

ORDER MADE IN

W.P.(MD)No.2109 of 2025

27.01.2025