



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 31.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.(MD)No.1327 of 2025

Manimaran

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kariapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No. 217/2023)

2. Aasaithambi

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
To call for the records pertaining to the charge sheet in C.C.No. 88 of
2024 on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District and Quash the same as Illegal.

For Petitioner : Dr.S.T.Sasidharan Tamilkani

For Respondents R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

For Respondents 2 : Ms.D.Saranya



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking orders to call for the records in C.C.No. 88 of 2024, on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District, and quash the same as illegal, improper and abuse of process of law.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a previous enmity with regard to the case filed by the 2nd respondent against the petitioner. On 16.10.2023 at about 04.00 p.m., the accused person restrained the defact complainant and abused him with filthy language, threatened him with dire consequences, to withdraw the case. He has also caused damages to the glass window. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complaint/R2, the said case has been registered against the accused persons.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent lodged a complaint before the first respondent and F.I.R. registered in Crime No.217 of 2023, after



investigation, final report filed, the same taken cognizance in C.C.No. 88 of 2024, on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District, for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 427, 506(i) IPC against the petitioner and others.

4. The case is under trial. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves. Now, both realized their mistakes, reconciled and second respondent agreeing to withdraw the complaint, not willing to pursue the case.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise, dated 30.01.2025 filed before this Court signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and their respective counsels. The petitioner and the second respondent present before this Court, identified by Mr.S.Babu Rajendiran, SSI, Kariyapatti Police Station, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court enquired both the parties, satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves on their own voluntarily without any compulsion.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025

6. In the instant case, the occurrence took place out of previous enmity and the parties had compromised their dispute. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has to power to quash the complaint for the offence under Sections 341, 294(b), 427, 506(i) IPC.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another* reported in *(2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat* reported in *(2017) 9 SCC 641* were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in C.C.No. 88 of 2024 as against the petitioner pending before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No. 88 of 2024, on the file of the learned District



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025

Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti, Virudhunagar District, is quashed as against the petitioner and all other accused persons and the joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

31.01.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
PNM

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kariapatti,
Virudhunagar District

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kariapatti Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1952 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.(MD)No.1327 of 2025

31.01.2025