



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED:30.01.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

and

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE

W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

Palanivelu

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Tahsildar,

Ponamaravathy Taluk,

Pudukottai District.

2.The Block Development Officer,

Ponamaravathi Taluk,

Pudukottai District.

3.The President,

The Village Panchayat,

Sathanur Village,

Ponamaravathi Taluk,

Pudukottai District.

4.Chinnaya

: Respondents



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to remove the encroachment made in Survey No.104/2, Sathanur Village, Ponamaravathy Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 21.05.2024 within the time that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Mahaboob Athiff

For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr.P.Thilak Kumar

Government Pleader

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by **M.S.RAMESH, J.**]

By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.This writ petition has been filed for a Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to remove the encroachment made in Survey No. 104/2, Sathanur Village, Ponamaravathy Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 21.05.2024.



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.Since this Court is directing the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation, after giving due opportunity to the private respondent herein, notice to the private respondent is hereby dispensed with.

4.The petitioner herein had given representation to the official respondents on 21.05.2024, for removal of the alleged encroachment. Since the said representation was not considered, the present writ petition has been filed.

5.It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory Authority, there is a duty cast upon him to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence, this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

WEB COPY

6.In the light of the above observations, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 21.05.2024, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, after giving due opportunity to the petitioner herein and the fourth respondent, as well as all other persons, who may be interested in the subject property, within a period of three [3] months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its views with regard to the merits of the matter and that it is open to the concerned respondents to consider the same on its own merits.

7.With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

[M.S.R.,J.] & [A.D.M.C.,J.]

30.01.2025

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

MR

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

To

1.The Tahsildar,
Ponamaravathy Taluk,
Pudukottai District.

2.The Block Development Officer,
Ponamaravathi Taluk,
Pudukottai District.

3.The President,
The Village Panchayat,
Sathanur Village,
Ponamaravathi Taluk,
Pudukottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

M.S.RAMESH, J.

and

A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

MR

ORDER MADE IN

W.P.(MD)No.2612 of 2025

30.01.2025