



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.(MD)No.970 of 2025

1. P.Madhankumar @ Mathan

2. M/s. Sundaram Home Finance Ltd., ... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep., by through the
Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.580 of 2024).

2. C.Margaret Rani ... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
to call for the records relating to impugned FIR in Crime no.580 of 2024
pending on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and Quash the same in so
far as the petitioner concern.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.P.Senthil

For Respondents 1 : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025

ORDER

This Petition has been filed to quash the F.I.R in Crime No.580 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent police.

2. The crux of the case is that the second respondent/defacto complainant gave a complaint before the first respondent police stating that due to property dispute, on 02.09.2024, the accused persons by demanding original title deeds of the subject property, abused and attacked the husband of the defacto complainant and also obtained signature of her husband in blank papers, thereby, the accused persons transferred the said property. Again on 08.11.2024, while the 2nd respondent was walking near the bus stop, the first accused wrongfully restrained her, abused in filthy language and threatened her with dire consequences. Based on the same was registered in Crime No.580 of 2024 on 11.11.2024 for the offence under Sections 61(2), 126(2), 296(b), 318(4), 329(4), 336(3), 339, 351(3) of BNS Act and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002.




WEB COPY

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent. Perused the materials available on record.

4. On perusal of the records, this Court satisfy with the ingredients of the offences under Sections 61(2), 126(2), 296(b), 318(4), 329(4), 336(3), 339, 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002, and the same is required to be investigated by the police officer.

4.1.Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the following cases;

- ***Gimpex (P) Ltd. v. Manoj Goel*** reported in **2022 (11) SCC 705 :: 2021 SCC Online SC 925,**
- ***Amish Devgan v. Union of India***, reported in **(2021) 1 SCC 1 : (2021) 1 SCC (Cri) 247 :: 2020 SCC OnLine SC 994,**
- ***H.P. Cricket Assn. v. State of H.P.***, reported in **(2020) 18 SCC 465 : (2021) 3 SCC (Cri) 555 : 2018 SCC OnLine SC 2419,**
- ***Rajeev Kourav v. Baisahab***, reported in **(2020) 3 SCC 317 : (2020) 2 SCC (Cri) 51 : 2020 SCC OnLine SC 168,**



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025

- ***Saranya v. Bharathi***, reported in ***(2021) 8 SCC 583 : (2021) 3 SCC (Cri) 377 : 2021 SCC OnLine SC 634***

WEB COPY

had held that the High Court has no inherent powers to interfere with the investigation, unless it is found that the allegations do not disclose the commission of a cognizable offence or the power of investigation is being exercised by the police malafidely and the High Court, while exercising its jurisdiction either under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure or under Article 226 of Constitution of India petition seeking for quashing of FIR, should be extremely cautious and slow to interfere with the investigation. Hence, this Court finds no reason to entertain this quash petition when the allegations made in the FIR, prima facie constitute the offences under Sections 61(2), 126(2), 296(b), 318(4), 329(4), 336(3), 339, 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002. Further, this Court is unable to accept the argument of the learned counsel for the petitioner to appreciate the material produced before this Court and the defence of the petitioner at this stage.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

The respondent Police is directed to file final report in Cr.No.580 of 2024 before the concerned Court within a period of six (6) months from the date



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025

of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner is at liberty to file a petition for quash, after filing of final report, if so advised. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

27.01.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
PNM

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Trichy District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.

PNM/sbn

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1446 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.(MD)No.970 of 2025

27.01.2025