



CRL OP(MD). No.957 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.01.2025

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice R.POORNIMA

CRL OP(MD). No.957 of 2025

1.Prabhavathi
2.Santhosh Kumar
3.Prakash

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1, 2 & 4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.
(Crime No.6 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Sankar, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS Act.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 6 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.957 of 2025

for the alleged offences under Section 296(b), 115(2), 315 of BNS 2023, in Crime No.6 of 2025 dated 09.01.2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant and the accused No.1 to 3 are family members. A1 is the wife of the de-facto complainant, A2 & A3 are sons of the de-facto complainant and A4 is their relative. On 09.01.2025, the petitioners herein are said to have used filthy language on the de-facto complainant and attacked him with the iron rod and shovel and the de-facto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the de-facto complainant and the petitioners herein are family members. The alleged case against the petitioners are purely wordy quarrel between the family members. They have not involved in any serious offences and thus, prays to grant anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police submits that the accused joined together and attacked the de-facto complainant with iron rod and shovel, resulting in injuries. The de-facto complainant is now taking treatment and has not been discharged from the hospital.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the material records of the case.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and



CRL OP(MD). No.957 of 2025

WEB COPY

considering the nature of allegations made in this case and considering the fact that the de-facto complainant has not been discharged from the hospital, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
17/01/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

17/01/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

NSR/LM

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.957 of 2025
Date :17/01/2025

ED/ /SAR- (17/01/2025) 3P / 3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023