



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

Mohamed Ali Jinnah

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by,
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

3.Sumathi

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S.,
to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of
enquiry based on the complaint given by the third respondent and pass
further or other orders as this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Palanisamy



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

WEB COPY

For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-3 : Mr.J.Lawrance

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry based on the complaint given by the third respondent.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a dispute in respect of purchase of property between the petitioner and the third respondent. Already sale deed was executed and thereafter, the third respondent lodged a complaint as against the petitioner and taking advantage of the said complaint, the second respondent used to harass the petitioner frequently asking to appear before the police for enquiry. The nature of the complaint is purely civil in nature but the police have harassed the petitioner to appear for enquiry.



WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the official respondents would submit that based on the complaint given by the third respondent, they made enquiry and the enquiry is still pending and they will complete the enquiry within 15 days.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the third respondent would submit that the petitioner cheated the third respondent to a tune of about Rs.35,00,000/- and thereby, she lodged a complaint before the second respondent and based on the complaint, the second respondent conducted enquiry. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. This Court had heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Since the third respondent lodged a complaint against the petitioner before the second respondent and the same is under enquiry, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the second respondent to dispose the enquiry, within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. With the above said observations and directions, this Criminal
Original Petition stands disposed of.

19.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.1078 of 2025

19.02.2025